UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,820	06/27/2005	Lutz Kirsten	14219-068US1	8847
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 08/13/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	2		BAISA, JOSELITO SASIS	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/511,820	KIRSTEN, LUTZ			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOSELITO BAISA	2832			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ag This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 7-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7,10,11 and 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8,9,12 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the orecast.	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/5/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 10, 11, 13 and 15 -18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodama et al.[6911893].

Kodama discloses a base 12 comprised of ceramic layers 14 and electrode layers 16, the electrode layers 16 separating adjacent ceramic layers 14, the ceramic layers 14 comprising a ceramic material that has a positive temperature coefficient; and a first collector electrode 18a attached to a first side of the electrical component and a second collector electrode 18b attached to a second side of the electrical component, wherein the first collector electrode 18a and the second collector electrode 18b contact alternate electrode layers 16; wherein the electrical component has a volume V and resistance R, the resistance R being measured between collector electrodes at a temperature of between 0° C and 40° C (room temperature) [see Table I] [Col. 3, Lines 1-15, Figure 1].

Kodama further discloses removing the binder from and sintering the base, which comprises ceramic materials that has positive temperature coefficient, in an environment having an oxygen content of the environment lower than oxygen content of air [Col. 2, Lines 57-63].

Kodama discloses the instant claimed invention discussed above except for the volume /resistance relationship to be less than 600 Ω • mm³.

Kodama, in Table I, shows the PTC thermistor used on printed circuit board, although not shown in $\Omega \cdot \text{mm}^3$, has a value less than 600 ohms.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the thermistor as taught by Kodama to be applied in a volume/ resistance relationship.

The motivation would have been because of the low resistance values at room temperature, it could be used in a certain volume (in terms of mm³) and would result in resistance less than 600 ohms [Table I].

Regarding claim 10, Kodama discloses sintering performed in a temperature of 1200 °C [Col. 2, Lines 61-65].

Regarding claim 11, Kodama discloses removing the binder, keeping a temperature of the base to a binder removing temperature at least until sintering is completed [Col. 2, Lines 57-63].

Regarding claims 13, 15, 16 and 17, Kodama discloses sintering performed in the environment with an oxygen content that corresponds to an oxygen content that is present during removal of the binder and decreased after the binder is removed with increasing temperature; [Col. 2, Lines 53-65].

Regarding claim 18, Kodama discloses the oxygen content of the environment increases (reoxidized) after a maximum sintering temperature [Col. 2, Lines 63-65].

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 9, 12 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reason for allowable subject matter:

Claim 8 recites, inter alia, oxygen content of the environment is less than 8 vol %.

Claim 9 recites, inter alia, removing the binder performed at a temperature of $<600^{\circ}$ C.

Claim 12 recites, inter alia, removing the binder performed in an environment with an oxygen content of the environment is less than 0.5 and < 8 vol %.

Claim 14 recites, inter alia, sintering performed in an environment with an oxygen content of the environment between 0.1 and < 5 vol %.

The references of record do not teach or suggest the aforementioned limitation, would it be obvious to modify those references to include such limitation.

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Kodama discloses removing the binder from and sintering the base, which comprises ceramic materials that has positive temperature coefficient, in an environment having an oxygen content of the environment lower than oxygen content of air. The ceramic sheets were stacked

Art Unit: 2832

with internal electrodes and subsequently burned in a reducing atmosphere of H_2/N_2 (low oxygen content).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joselito Baisa whose telephone number is (571) 272-7132. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,820 Page 6

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elvin G Enad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2832 Joselito Baisa Examiner Art Unit 2832

/J. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 2832